1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Bioaccumulation, **Biotransformation**, and Metabolite Formation of Fipronil and Chiral Legacy Pesticides in **Rainbow Trout**

BRAD J. KONWICK,[†] ARTHUR W. GARRISON, ‡ MARSHA C. BLACK, † JIMMY K. AVANTS,[‡] AND AARON T. FISK*,§

Department of Environmental Health Science, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Exposure Research Laboratory, Athens, Georgia 30605, and Warnell School of Forestry and Natural Resources, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602

16

To assess the fate of current-use pesticides, it is important 17to understand their bioaccumulation and biotransformation 18 by aquatic biota. We examined the dietary accumulation 19 and enantioselective biotransformation of the chiral current-20 use pesticide fipronil, along with a mixture of selected 21 chiral [α -hexachlorocyclohexane (α -HCH), heptachlor epoxide 22(HEPX), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) 84, 132, 174, o,p'-2324DDT, and o,p'-DDD] and nonchiral (p,p'-DDT, p,p'-DDD) 25organochlorine compounds in juvenile rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Fish rapidly accumulated all 26 27compounds, as measured in the carcass (whole body minus liver and GI tract) during the 32 d uptake phase, 28 which was followed by varying elimination rates of the 29 chemicals (half-lives $(t_{1/2}s)$ ranging from 0.6 d for fipronil 30 to 77.0 d for PCB 174) during the 96 d depuration period. No 31 biotransformation was observed for α -HCH, HEPX, PCB 32 174, *o*,*p*'-DDT, or *o*,*p*'-DDD based on consistent enantiomeric 33 fractions (EFs) in the fish and their $t_{1/2}$ s falling on a log 34 $K_{\rm ow} - \log t_{1/2}$ relationship established for recalcitrant 35 contaminants in fish. p,p'-DDT and PCBs 84 and 132 were 36 37 biotransformed based on the former's $t_{1/2}$ position below 38 the log K_{ow} – log $t_{1/2}$ relationship, and the PCBs change in 39 EF. Fipronil was rapidly biotransformed, based on a change in EF, a $t_{1/2}$ that fell below the log K_{ow} – log $t_{1/2}$ 40 relationship, which accounted for 88% of its elimination, and 41 the rapid formation of fipronil sulfone, a known metabolite. 42Fipronil sulfone was found to persist longer ($t_{1/2} \sim 2$ d) 43 than its parent compound fipronil ($t_{1/2} \sim 0.6$ d) and needs 44 45 to be considered in fate studies of fipronil. This research demonstrates the utilities of the log K_{ow} – log $t_{1/2}$ relationship 46 as a mechanistic tool for quantifying biotransformation 47and of chiral analysis to measure biotransformation in fish. 48 49

To assess the potential risk of contaminants, such as currentuse pesticides (e.g., fipronil), it is important to understand their accumulation and fate in aquatic biota. However, there have been few studies that have addressed this issue for nonpersistent compounds, likely due to a combination of the low octanol-water partition coefficients (log K_{ow}) and short environmental persistence of these chemicals (1-2). Furthermore, models that describe bioaccumulation based on the physical-chemical properties of these chemicals may not be accurate. This is because many current-use pesticides are readily biotransformed (1-2), which if rates are unknown, confounds efforts to use chemical-physical properties to infer bioaccumulation. Unfortunately, methods to estimate biotransformation of contaminants are limited, especially for fish (3-4). Although bioaccumulation may be minimal for current-use pesticides, it is still important to measure accumulation, assess biotransformation, and track the formation of any metabolites that may have detrimental effects (5).

Approximately 25% of current-use pesticides are chiral (6), in addition to several legacy pesticides (e.g., o, p'-DDT, chlordanes) and some PCBs (7). Chiral compounds exist as two nonsuperimposable mirror images called enantiomers, which are designated as (+) and (-) based on their rotation of plane-polarized light. The manufacture of chiral chemicals results in a racemic (\pm) mixture, containing 50% of each enantiomer, the form in which they are typically released into the environment. Enantiomers have identical physicalchemical properties (8); however, relative abundances of enantiomers can change after enzymatic metabolic processes (9-11). As a result, the enantiomeric composition in biota has been used as a tracer for biotransformation (9). For example, nonracemic residues have indicated, for the first time, that fish can biotransform a number of chiral organochlorines (OCs) (10-11).

Another method for determining rates of biotransformation has been proposed based on a curve-linear relationship developed between log K_{ow} and $t_{1/2}$ for a series of recalcitrant contaminants in juvenile rainbow trout (12-13). Nonrecalcitrant chemicals, whose $t_{1/2}$ (determined experimentally) fall below this curve-linear relationship, are suggested to be biotransformed, whereas those chemicals that fall on or near this relationship would show little to no biotransformation (12-13). This model has been used to generate biotransformation rates for polychlorinated alkanes and PCBs in juvenile rainbow trout (13-14) with potential application to less-persistent chemicals.

Fipronil is a chiral, phenylpyrazole-class insecticide first approved in 1996 for use on a number of crops in the U.S., including rice culture, turf grass management, and residential pest control (15-16). Fipronil use is expected to increase due to species resistance and restrictions on organophosphate (OP) insecticides (17-18). Fipronil is more toxic to invertebrates than mammals (19) and can impact aquatic environments at low concentrations (15, 20). In addition, fipronil's degradation products, which are suggested to have similar toxic potential (16, 19) and are more environmentally stable (21), increase the threat of fipronil to the environment. While fipronil's $\log K_{ow}$ value (4.01) (1) is in the range of some persistent OCs shown to bioaccumulate in food webs (22-23), there is little information on its accumulation and biotransformation in aquatic organisms.

To address fipronil bioaccumulation, as well as to test the 113utility of chiral analysis and the $\log K_{ow} - \log t_{1/2}$ relationship 114

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

^{*} Corresponding author phone: (706) 542-1477; fax: (706) 542-8356; e-mail: afisk@forestry.uga.edu.

[†] Department of Environmental Health Science, University of Georgia.

[‡] U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Exposure Research Laboratory.

[§] Warnell School of Forestry and Natural Resources, Room 3-408, University of Georgia.

in assessing biotransformation, juvenile rainbow trout (On-115corhynchus mykiss) were exposed to fipronil and a series of 116 legacy organochlorines (OCs) incorporated into their diet. 117 The OCs were included to validate the log $K_{ow} - \log t_{1/2}$ 118 119 relationship for this study, for expansion of this relationship to lower log K_{ow} chemicals, and to increase the existing 120 information on the enantioselective biotransformation ca-121 122 pacity of fish. A metabolite of fipronil, fipronil sulfone, was also monitored throughout the experiment to further assess 123 biotranformation of the parent compound. To our knowledge, 124 this is the first experiment to determine the toxicokinetics 125of fipronil, or fipronil sulfone, in fish via dietary exposure 126 and its enantioselective biotransformation for any species. 127

Materials and Methods 128

129 Chemicals and Food Preparation. Fipronil, heptachlor epoxide (HEPX), α -hexachlorocyclohexane (α -HCH), o, p'-130 131 DDT, p,p'-DDT, o,p'-DDD, and p,p'-DDD were obtained from ChemService (West Chester, PA). PCBs 84 and 65 were 132 obtained from AccuStandard (New Haven, CT), and PCBs 133 174 and 132 were obtained from Ultra Scientific (North 134 Kingston, RI). The purities of all chemical standards were 135 ≥98%. All solvents (Ultra Resi-Analyzed) were obtained from 136 137 J. T. Baker (Phillipsburg, NJ).

Fipronil (1000 μ g/mL in methanol) and the OCs (100 μ g/ 138 mL in hexane) were added to 1 L of hexane and mixed with 139 500 g of the commercial trout food (Zeigler, Gardner, PA; 140 141 38% protein, 15% lipid, 3% fiber) in a round-bottom flask. The solvents were slowly evaporated to dryness in a rotary 142evaporator, followed by air-drying the food for 48 h, and 143 then stored in amber jars at 8 °C. Control food was treated 144 in an identical manner but without the addition of the 145 contaminants. The concentrations of fipronil and OCs (Table 146 147 1) were determined in spiked and control food by using the technique described below for fish tissue. 148

Experimental Protocol. Juvenile rainbow trout (Lake 149 150Burton Fish Hatchery, GA; initial weights 10.2 ± 0.5 g, mean \pm SE) were haphazardly assigned to one of three 800-L 151fiberglass aquaria (45 fish per tank) with recirculating, 152153 dechlorinated tap water chilled to 12 °C and carbon-filtered to remove any contaminant residues in the water. Fish were 154 maintained on a 12 h light:12 h dark photoperiod. One tank 155156of fish was exposed to all of the compounds listed above (MIX treatment), one tank was exposed to fipronil only (FIP 157treatment), and the final tank served as a control. Fish were 158 159exposed to the spiked food for 32 days (uptake), followed by 96 days of clean food (depuration), at 1.5% of the mean weight 160 of the rainbow trout, corrected for weight gain after each 161 sampling day. Three fish were randomly sampled from each 162 treatment on days 2, 4, 8, 16, and 32 of the uptake phase and 163 164 on days 34, 36, 40, 48, 64, and 128 of the depuration phase. 165 Sampled fish were separated into liver, gastrointestinal (GI) tract (including stomach and contents, spleen, pyloric caeca, 166 intestines, and adipose tissue associated with these organs), 167 and carcass (whole fish minus liver and GI tract to avoid 168 analytes in the undigested food) and frozen until analysis. 169 Only carcass results were used in calculating bioaccumulation 170 171 parameters and enantiomer fractions (EFs).

Chemical Analysis. Extraction and cleanup of samples 172 followed established methods for quantifying OCs in fish 173 174 (12). PCB 65 was added to samples as a recovery standard 175prior to extraction. Tissue samples (whole carcass, except the last sampling day, on which 10-12 g of carcass fillet was 176 177 extracted due to the large sample size) were freeze-dried and homogenized/extracted in dichloromethane (DCM)/hexane 178 (1:1 by volume) by using a polytron (PowerGen 125, Fisher 179 180 Scientific). Samples were extracted twice; the extracts were 181 then combined, centrifuged, and evaporated to 10 mL. One 182 mL of the extract was used to determine lipids gravimetrically. 183 Lipids were removed (first 140-mL fraction) from the

TABLE 1. Co	ncentrations and EFs	s in Food ($n = 3$),	, and Contaminant	Bioaccumula	ation Parameters in Rainl	bow Trout Carcass F	ollowing Dietary	Exposure ^a			
		food concentrated			depuration rate	biotransformation	depuration	absorption efficiencv			
treatment	compound	(ug/g wet wt) ^b	food EF	log K _{ow} c	$k_{\mathrm{d}} (\mathrm{d}^{-1})^d$	rate (d ^{-1)e}	t _{1/2} (d) ^f	<i>b</i> (%)	BMF ^h ss	BMF^i_{calcd}	BMF [/] equil
FIP	fipronil	$\textbf{7.68} \pm \textbf{0.18}$	$\textbf{0.50}\pm\textbf{0.001}$	4.0	$1.144 \pm 0.050 \ (0.99)$	1.006	$\textbf{0.61}\pm\textbf{0.03}$	23 ± 2	0.04	0.02	0.04
	fipronil sulfone	0.19 ± 0.01	NC	3.7	0.293 ± 0.009 (0.99)		2.37 ± 0.07		4.78		
MIX	fipronil	12.27 ± 0.52	$\textbf{0.50}\pm\textbf{0.000}$	4.0	1.230 ± 0.076 (0.99)	1.091	0.56 ± 0.03	28 ± 4	0.05	0.02	0.04
	fipronil sulfone	0.41 ± 0.01	NC	3.7	0.374 ± 0.038 (0.92)		$\textbf{1.85}\pm\textbf{0.18}$		7.20		
	α-HCH	0.87 ± 0.07	0.51 ± 0.002	3.9	0.180 ± 0.035 (0.84)		$\textbf{3.85}\pm\textbf{0.75}$	45 ± 13	0.29	0.24	0.26
	HEPX	0.71 ± 0.03	$\textbf{0.46}\pm\textbf{0.008}$	5.4	0.026 ± 0.002 (0.80)		26.7 ± 2.1	71 ± 5		2.6	1.8
	o,p'-DDT	0.40 ± 0.05	0.51 ± 0.004	5.7	0.019 ± 0.004 (0.52)		36.5 ± 7.7	139 ± 10		6.9	2.5
	o,p'-DDD	0.70 ± 0.03	$\textbf{0.55}\pm\textbf{0.001}$	6.1	0.017 ± 0.003 (0.65)		40.8 ± 7.2	42 ± 3		2.4	2.8
	p,p'-DDT	0.42 ± 0.04	NC	6.0	0.026 ± 0.006 (0.54)	0.011	26.7 ± 6.2	269 ± 36		9.9	1.8
	p,p'-DDD	0.87 ± 0.04	NC	5.5	0.016 ± 0.002 (0.85)	-0.006	$\textbf{43.3}\pm\textbf{5.4}$	67 ± 4		4.0	3.0
	PCB 84	0.87 ± 0.02	0.50 ± 0.003	6.0	0.017 ± 0.002 (0.84)		$\textbf{40.8} \pm \textbf{4.8}$	57 ± 3		3.2	2.8
	PCB 132	0.77 ± 0.06	0.50 ± 0.001	6.6	0.012 ± 0.001 (0.78)		57.8 ± 4.8	69 ± 4		5.5	4.0
	PCB 174	0.92 ± 0.08	$\textbf{0.53}\pm\textbf{0.001}$	7.1	$0.009 \pm 0.002 \ (0.61)$		77.0 ± 17.1	54 ± 3		6.4	5.9
^a Values r log K_{ow} value period (coeff where half-li of the $t_{1/2}$ did	nissing indicate the par is were taken from (45), "cient of determination ves were determined fr not overlap the 95% Cl	rameter was not call and remaining log h i (P^2) for the model is rom the equation log l of the regression.	culable. ^b None of thi $\zeta_{0,v}$ values were select $\zeta_{0,v}$ values were select s shown in parenthes g half-life = $-3.7 + ($ Half-lives $(t_{1/2})$ were v	e compounds ted from (46). ses). ^e Biotrar 1.5 $\times \log K_{ow}$ calculated fro	s were detected in control find the constants and the constant as formation rate = measure $(-12, wh) = (-10, -\log K_{ow}^2) (12), wh$ the equation $t_{1/2} = 0.693$	ood. ^c Log K _{ow} values f s (K _d s) were calculated u ed depuration rate - m hich assumes no biotra (K _d , ^g The absorption ef	or fipronil and fiprusing the model in contraining the model in contraining formation. A bic ficiency (a) was de ficiency (a) was de ficiency (a) was de ficiency (b)	onil sulfone take concentration = rate. Minimurr otransformation termined by eq	an from (1) a $a + b \times time$ depuration rate was on 2. ^h Biomag	ind (44), respe for the 96-day rates = 0.693 ly calculated v nification fact	ctively, PCB elimination /half-life (d), vhen the SE ors at steady
state (BINIPss,	$f = C_{fish}$ (lipid, growth c	corrected)/Crood (IIpId	corrected). ' BNIFcalc	is derived tro	om the equation BIMF = αF_{i}	/kd. ^J BINIF _{equil} calculated	I trom BINIF = $\alpha F/K$	_a , assuming α is	s U.5.		

remaining extract by using gel permeation chromatography
(GPC) columns packed with 60 g (dry weight) of 200–400
mesh Bio-Beads S–X3 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA)
(*12*). The GPC eluate was reduced to 1 mL prior to analysis
by GC-MS.

189 All analytes were quantified by a Hewlett-Packard (HP) 190 5973 mass spectrometer (MS) linked to a 6890 gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with a chiral column, with the 191 192 exception of PCB 174, which was quantified by an electron capture detector (ECD) coupled to a HP 5890 GC. The GC 193 194 column, in both cases, was a 30-m BGB 172 (BGB Analytik AG, Switzerland) containing a chiral phase composed of 20% 195 *tert*-butyldimethylsilylated-β-cyclodextrin. All GC-MS detec-196 197 tion was by selected ion monitoring (SIM); ions were generally 2 isomer peaks of the parent ion chlorine isotope cluster. All 198 199 extract concentrations were corrected to PCB 65 recovery, which averaged 57 \pm 5% (mean \pm SE) over all samples. 200 201 Detection levels (three times the signal-to-noise ratio) ranged from 30 ng/g for fipronil to 3 ng/g for o, p'-DDD based on fish 202 203 sample weight.

204

EFs (24) for each chiral analyte were calculated using:

$$EF = [E_1]/([E_1] + [E_2])$$
(1)

205where $[E_1]$ and $[E_2]$ are the concentrations of the first and second eluting enantiomers on a given chiral column. Even 206 207 though elution orders were determined by spiking each 208 racemic standard with one of its pure enantiomers, EF values 209 were calculated as the first peak over the sum of both peaks for all analytes to avoid confusion. The first eluting enan-210 tiomer was (+) for α –HCH, HEPX, *o*,*p*'-DDT, PCB 174, and 211fipronil and (-) for PCB 132, 84, and o,p'-DDD. Mean EF 212 213 values for standards were all near racemic (between 0.48 for *o*,*p*'-DDT and 0.51 for *o*,*p*'-DDD). 214

Data Analysis. Growth rates were determined by fitting 215216 all fish weight data to an exponential model (In fish weight = a + bt, where a is a constant, b is the growth rate, and t 217is time in days) (12). As growth dilution can significantly 218 219 reduce concentrations and estimated elimination rates (12), all concentrations were corrected for growth by multiplying 220 the fish concentrations by a factor of (1 + bt). Depuration 221 rate (k_d) constants were determined by fitting the concentra-222 223 tion data obtained during depuration to a first-order decay 224 curve (ln concentration = $a + k_d t$, where a is a constant, and 225*t* is time in days). Half-life $(t_{1/2})$ values were calculated using 226 $\ln 2/k_{\rm d}$. Steady-state biomagnification factors (BMF_{ss}) were predicted from the equation $BMF = C_{fish}/C_{food}$, where C_{fish} is 227 228 the average concentration assuming steady state in the fish, 229 and C_{food} is the average concentration in the food; both 230concentrations were calculated based on lipid content. Steady state was assumed only when concentrations did not 231 232 continue to increase over three consecutive sampling intervals in the fish. If steady state was not reached, BMFs 233234were calculated from the equation BMF = $\alpha F/k_d$, where 235 absorption efficiency (α) was determined by fitting the data to the integrated form of the following kinetic rate equation 236 237for constant dietary exposure using iterative nonlinear 238 regression (12):

$$C_{\rm fish} = (\alpha F C_{\rm food} / k_{\rm d}) \times [1 - \exp(-k_{\rm d} t)]$$
(2)

239where F is the feeding rate (F = 0.015 g food/g of fish/d, lipid240basis), C_{fish} is the concentration in the fish (lipid basis), C_{food} 241is the concentration in the food (lipid basis), and t is time242(d).

243Differences between whole body and liver growth rate244constants among treatments were examined by testing the245homogeneity of slopes in an analysis of covariance. Tukey's246honestly significant difference (HSD) test (p < 0.05) was used

to compare percent lipid and liver somatic indices of 247 treatments to control fish (Systat, Ver 9, SPSS, Chicago, IL). 248

Biotransformation of each compound was examined by 249 using two methods. The first was achiral and quantitative in 250 that it produced biotransformation rates by comparing the 251 $t_{1/2}$ of each compound in this study with those of 16 known 252recalcitrant PCBs in juvenile rainbow trout (as identified in 253(12)). These 16 recalcitrant PCB congeners had maximum 254 chlorine substitution in the meta and para positions of the 255biphenyl rings and, thus, should have no significant biotrans-256formation, the slowest elimination, and highest $t_{1/2}$ (which 257will vary with congener log K_{ow}) of all PCB congeners (25). 258Contaminants of the same $\log K_{ow}$ value with a depuration 259rate greater than that established from the log $K_{ow} - \log t_{1/2}$ 260 regression relationship (and thus a shorter $t_{1/2}$), determined 261 from the depuration rates of the recalcitrant PCBs in Fisk et 262 al. (12), are suggested to be biotransformed. Subtracting this 263minimal regression depuration rate based on the contami-264nant's log K_{ow} from the experimentally determined depu-265ration rate provides an estimate of biotransformation rate 266 (13). Compounds with biotransformation rates that approach 267 zero (positive or negative) are assumed to be recalcitrant. 268 Biotransformation was deemed to be significant for a 269 contaminant when the mean plus standard error of its $t_{1/2}$ 270 fell below the 95% confidence intervals of the log K_{ow} – log 271 $t_{1/2}$ regression. The second biotransformation method was 272 chiral and qualitative and was based on comparing con-273 taminant EFs in fish to EFs in food and standards with an 274analysis of variance by a Tukey's a posteriori test using Systat 275 $(\alpha = 0.05)$. If significant changes were seen in EFs of a 276 contaminant in the fish, the first method described above 277 was used to identify the biotransformation rate for the more 278 depleted enantiomer. In addition, we monitored for a known 279metabolite, fipronil sulfone, of fipronil for confirmation of 280 biotransformation regarding this contaminant. 281

Results and Discussion

Fish Health and Effects. Exposure to fipronil and the OCs did not appear to influence the health of the rainbow trout, as no significant differences were found in lipid percentages, liver somatic index (LSI), or liver growth rates among treatments, and no mortality or signs of stress (e.g., coloration change) were observed. However, the whole fish growth rate of the MIX treatment was lower than the control (Table S1, Supporting Information), although both are in the range reported for similar size rainbow trout (12-13).

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

Bioaccumulation Parameters. All compounds were detected in treated fish on the first collection day (day 2) after exposure to the spiked food, and accumulation was rapid during the uptake phase of the experiment (Figure 1). Only fipronil and α -HCH appeared to reach steady state during the uptake phase, which is consistent with their shorter $t_{1/2}$. For the remaining compounds, concentrations increased throughout the uptake portion of the experiment failing to achieve steady state (Figure 1). Similar uptake and elimination curves were found for those OCs not in Figure 1. None of the compounds were detected in control fish on any collection day.

Fipronil was rapidly eliminated by the rainbow trout, having the highest depuration rate among the studied contaminants, with $t_{1/2}$ s of 0.61 ± 0.03 and 0.56 ± 0.03 d in the FIP and MIX treatments, respectively (Table 1). It was not detected in fish beyond 4 days after cessation of exposure in either treatment. There are very limited data for which to compare these $t_{1/2}$ s. In an aqueous exposure, fipronil was completely (>96%) eliminated by bluegill (*Lepomis macro-chirus*) within 14 days; however, there was no $t_{1/2}$ reported and concentrations were not determined on other days, with a reported bioconcentration factor (BCF) of 321 in whole fish (*15*).



FIGURE 1. Concentrations (dashed lines) and enantiomeric fractions (EFs) (dotted lines) of fipronil and fipronil sulfone (from FIP treatment), PCB 84, PCB 132, and o,p'-DDT (from MIX treatment) in juvenile rainbow trout carcass over time. Each point represents the mean \pm SE (if larger than symbol used) of concentrations or EF of three fish sampled at that time point. No symbols are present if the chemical was found below detection limits. An asterisk indicates significantly (p < 0.05) different EFs in fish on an individual sampling day compared to the food EF. Similar uptake and elimination curves were found for those OCs not shown.

Of the OCs, α -HCH had the highest depuration rate, resulting in a $t_{1/2}$ of 3.85 ± 0.75 d (Table 1). This $t_{1/2}$ is similar to those reported for α -HCH in guppies (*Poecilia reticulate*) and zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) ($t_{1/2}$ s of 2-4 days) (26-27), but approximately 10 days faster than reported for larger-sized (~45 g initial weight) rainbow trout ($t_{1/2}$ of 13 d) (10). Previous research has shown $t_{1/2}$ s to increase with fish size (12). The $t_{1/2}$ of the remaining OC compounds were considerably longer, ranging from ~27 d for HEPX and p,p'-DDT to 77 d for PCB 174 (Table 1), are similar to those reported for other OCs in juvenile rainbow trout, and increased with log K_{ow} , consistent with other studies (12-14).

316

317

318

319

 $320 \\ 321$

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

There was a wide range of absorption efficiencies in this experiment, although most fell between 40 and 70%, consistent with past studies with OCs in small fish (Table 1) (12-14). Absorption efficiencies for the DDT compounds exceeded 100%, which is not realistic or easily explained, and may be related to DDT breakdown in storage (28), which would underestimate the concentration in the food (Table 1). Low absorption efficiencies for fipronil (Table 1) are consistent with previous studies showing less-persistent chemicals having small absorption efficiencies due to confounding of this parameter by rapid elimination (29).

339Many of the OCs in this study should biomagnify within340aquatic food webs based on BMFs > 1 (Table 1). BMF_{calc}341values derived from absorption efficiencies were all greater342than one, except for fipronil (0.02) and α -HCH (0.24), ranging343from 2.4 for o,p'-DDD to 9.9 for p,p'-DDT. Because of the344confounded absorption efficiencies (see above), a second345set of BMFs were determined by assuming an absorption

D = ENVIRON. SCI. & TECHNOL. / VOL. xx, NO. xx, xxxx

efficiency of 50% (BMF_{equil}), which is typically observed in similar studies with OCs (12-14). BMF_{equil} values agreed with those for the other OC compounds (Table 1) and in other DDT studies (30). In addition, the BMF values calculated at steady state (BMF_{ss}) for fipronil and α -HCH were in agreement with the other BMF determination methods in this study, indicating that these compounds would not biomagnify in aquatic food webs (Table 1). However, field studies have shown α -HCH to biomagnify within Arctic marine food webs (22-23), which may be due to the large size of the fish and colder temperatures in these studies.

Biotransformation of Fipronil. Fipronil was rapidly biotransformed by the rainbow trout with EFs, indicating relative abundance of fipronil enantiomers changing quickly over time (Figure 1). After 2 days, and throughout both exposures, the (-) enantiomer of fipronil was more prominent, indicating a greater enantioselective biotransformation rate of the (+) enantiomer. The detection of fipronil sulfone, a known metabolite in rodents and fish (1, 15), on the first sampling day and at higher concentrations throughout the uptake phase (Figure 1) confirmed rapid biotransformation of fipronil. It should be noted that low concentrations of fipronil sulfone, about 3% of fipronil concentrations, were detected in the spiked food (Table 1) due to its presence in the fipronil standard. However, the presence of fipronil sulfone in the fipronil-exposed fish is considered to be insignificant because BMFs of fipronil sulfone (4.8 to 7.2) calculated from steady-state concentrations in the food were unrealistic based on its $t_{1/2}$ and were similar to PCB 174 in this study, which had a much longer $t_{1/2}$.



FIGURE 2. Log half-life of compounds in juvenile rainbow trout from this study versus log K_{ow} . The quadratic regression (solid line) and 95% confidence intervals (dashed lines) were taken from Fisk et al. (12), which represents the regression of the log of the half-life of 16 recalcitrant PCBs and log K_{ow} in juvenile rainbow trout. Compounds with open circles fell below or above the relationship, indicating they are being biotransformed or bioformed, respectively.

376 The position of fipronil below the log $K_{ow} - \log t_{1/2}$ 377 relationship indicated the rapid biotransformation of this chemical (Figure 2), consistent with metabolite-formation 378 and EF results. The biotransformation rate of fipronil was 379 380 estimated to account for the majority (approximately 88%) 381of its elimination in both treatments (Table 1). Furthermore, the $t_{1/2}$ s and resulting biotransformation rates of the indi-382 383 vidual enantiomers of fipronil did not deviate more than 16% in either treatment, indicating rapid biotransformation 384 385 of both enantiomers, although greater for the (+) enantiomer 386 based on EF data. The inclusion of OCs in the spiked food did not alter fipronil bioaccumulation parameters (Table 1), 388 and thus, enzyme induction by these OCs was likely not significant.

387

389

391

394

397

401

407

Bioaccumulation and Biotransformation of Fipronil 390 Sulfone. Fipronil sulfone was found to be more recalcitrant 392 $(t_{1/2}$ three times greater) in fish, and thus has a greater bioaccumulation potential than its parent compound, fipronil 393 (Table 1). The $t_{1/2}$ of fipronil sulfone fell on the log K_{ow} – log $t_{1/2}$ relationship, indicating little biotransformation of this 395 metabolite, as suggested by research in mammals (1). This 396 result, however, warrants caution because the $\log K_{ow} - \log$ 398 $t_{1/2}$ relationship has not been established for chemicals under 399 a log K_{ow} of approximately 5.5. For this study, the relationship was extrapolated down to these lower log K_{ow} values, as 400 indicated by the increasing 95% confidence intervals. However, the determined $t_{1/2}$ of α -HCH (log $K_{ow} \sim 4$), which has 402 previously been shown to have little to no biotransformation 403 in fish (10), fell on the log K_{ow} – log $t_{1/2}$ relationship, indicating 404 405 that the relationship is holding at these lower K_{ow} s. Clearly, 406 risk assessment of fipronil in aquatic systems must also consider fipronil sulfone.

Bioaccumulation and Biotransformation of the Other 408 OCs. Most of the other OCs studied showed little or no 409 biotransformation. EFs for a majority of the OCs (PCB 174, 410 411 α -HCH, and HEPX) were racemic throughout the experiment, suggesting no enantioselective biotransformation. This is 412413 consistent with previous research showing that α -HCH was not biotransformed enantioselectively by rainbow trout (10) 414 415and that near-racemic levels of PCB 174 and HEPX were detected in fish (31, 32). Likewise, the EFs of o,p'-DDT and 416 417 o,p'-DDD in fish were not significantly different than in food on any sampling day, indicating nonselective biotransfor-418 mation (Figure 1). However, significant differences occurred 419 420 on several sampling days when compared to the analyte standard EFs for these two compounds as a likely result of their biological breakdown (28, 33) in a stereospecific manner, as suggested by previous research with other OCs (34). It should be noted that fish may be biotransforming these chiral compounds (α-HCH, HEPX, PCB 174, *o*,*p*'-DDT, *o*,*p*'-DDD) in a nonenantioselective fashion, as reported for o,p'-DDT in plants (28). However, this could not be confirmed based on the second method (see below) for assessing biotransformation.

PCBs 84 and 132 were enantioselectively biotranformed, although slowly, based on EFs in the fish. The EFs of PCB 84 in fish were racemic throughout the uptake phase of the experiment but increased significantly starting on day 36 (day 4 of depuration) (Figure 1). Thus, the fish were selectively biotransforming the (+) enantiomer of PCB 84, consistent with that seen in mice (35). In the case of PCB 132, there were no significant differences with EFs in fish to those in food throughout the study; however, there was a trend of decreasing EF (biotransformation of (-) PCB 132), which was statistically significant on the last sampling day (day 128) (Figure 1).

Biotransformation of PCBs 84 and 132 would indicate that CYP 2B-like activity is present in fish and may play a role in bioaccumulation. To biotransform a PCB congener via cytochrome (CYP) enzymes, it is believed that adjacent ortho, meta (via CYP1A) or meta, para (via CYP2B) positions on the biphenyl ring must not be substituted with chlorine atoms (36-37). Both congeners (PCB 84, 132) have vicinal hydrogen atoms in the meta, para positions, with PCB 84 also having vicinal hydrogen atoms in the ortho, meta positions, consistent with our EF results. In addition, PCB 174, which does not have any adjacent vicinal hydrogen atoms on the biphenyl ring, did not show any biotransformation based on EFs.

The log K_{ow} – log $t_{1/2}$ regression relationship indicated little to no biotransformation of PCB 174, o,p'-DDT, o,p'-DDD, HEPX, and α -HCH, and is in agreement with unaltered EFs for these compounds (Table 1, Figure 2). In agreement with past studies, we illustrate that unmetabolized OCs adhere to this curve-linear relationship, in part validating the use of this model. PCBs 84 and 132, which showed enantioselective biotransformation through nonracemic EFs, adhered to the $\log K_{ow} - \log t_{1/2}$ relationship. However, looking at individual enantiomers of these two compounds, we see shorter $t_{1/2}$ s for the more depleted enantiomers (Figure 2) resulting in significant biotransformation rates of 0.005 d⁻¹ for (+) PCB 84 and 0.003 d⁻¹ for (-) PCB 132. Thus, the biotransformation seen for these two PCBs is almost completely a result of these individual enantiomers. It is possible that this achiral relationship ($\log K_{ow} - \log t_{1/2}$) for assessing biotransformation does not detect subtle differences in enantiomer biotransformation, showing the sensitivity of chiral analysis for this purpose.

It is interesting to note that p,p'-DDT fell below the log $K_{\rm ow} - \log t_{1/2}$ relationship, indicating that it was being biotransformed slowly in the fish (Table 1). Its degradation product, p,p'-DDD, was similarly positioned above the relationship, suggesting that any biotransformation of p,p'-DDT (negative biotransformation rate, Table 1) may have resulted in the formation of p,p'-DDD in the fish, leading to a longer than expected $t_{1/2}$. Although biotransformation of DDT to the metabolites DDD or DDE by organisms is often indicated (38), experiments showing this biotransformation pathway are lacking. In fact, greater accumulation rates for some DDT metabolites (i.e., DDE) have been observed in aquatic food webs, which have been attributed to the formation of the metabolite via biotransformation of DDT (39)

The changes in EFs shown for fipronil and PCBs 84 and 132 are most likely due to biotransformation as opposed to enantioselective uptake or elimination or biotransformation 465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

in the gut. Enantioselective uptake is unlikely because the 491 492 transfer from GI tract into the body through mixed micelle vesicles for hydrophobic compounds is a passive transport 493 process that is not considered to be stereospecific (40, 41). 494 495 The results of this study support this because EFs would 496 have deviated from racemic immediately upon exposure; 497 however, this was not apparent for the OCs. Although fipronil did deviate from racemic during the uptake phase, this 498 499 deviation is a result of biotransformation, supported by the presence of the fipronil sulfone metabolite; however, break-500 501down by gut flora is also a possibility. Likewise, elimination of hydrophobic compounds, such as excretion through feces 502 or the gills, is also considered a passive and nonstereospecific 503 504process (42, 43).

This study shows the utility of using chiral analysis to 505 506 provide insight into the biotransformation of contaminants. Through measurement of EFs, we were able to demonstrate 507 508 the biotransformation of fipronil and two PCBs (84 and 132) by fish. These biotransformation processes would not have 509 510been observed with traditional achiral analysis, and our results suggest that fish have a greater ability to metabolize 511 OCs than previously thought. On the other hand, the majority 512513of the OCs examined showed no indication of enantiomerspecific biotransformation. Because of the increasing likeli-514515hood of chiral centers with the increasing complexity of 516 current-use pesticides, similar studies are warranted to 517 quantify biotransformation processes of these more modern, less persistent chemicals. Our results also highlight the value 518 519 of the log K_{ow} – log $t_{1/2}$ relationship as a mechanistic tool for quantifying biotransformation for a variety of contaminants 520 such as current-use pesticides in fish. 521

Acknowledgments 522

We would like to thank M. Rigglesford for rainbow trout 523 husbandry and the Lake Burton Hatchery for supplying fish. 524B.J.K. was supported by a National Network for Environ-525526 mental Management Systems Fellowship, funded through the U.S. EPA and the Interdisciplinary Toxicology Program 527 528 at the University of Georgia. This manuscript has been reviewed and approved for publication by the U.S. EPA, but 529 does not necessarily reflect U.S EPA policy. 530

Supporting Information Available 531

532 Further information regarding lipid percentages, LSI, and 533 whole fish growth rates among investigated treatments. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http:// 535 pubs.acs.org.

Literature Cited

534

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

- (1) Roberts, T. R.; Hutson, D. H. Metabolic Pathways of Agrochemicals, Part 2: Insecticides and Fungicides; The Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, UK, 1999.
- Nowell, L. H.; Capel, P. D.; Dileanis, P. D. Pesticides in Stream (2)Sediment and Aquatic Biota: Distribution, Trends, and Governing Factors; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2001.
- Borgå, K.; Fisk, A. T.; Hoekstra, P. F.; Muir, D. C. G. Biological (3)and chemical factors of importance in the bioaccumulation and trophic transfer of persistent organochlorine contaminants in Arctic marine food webs. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 2004, 23, 2367-2385
- (4) Kleinow, K. M.; Melancon, M. J.; Lech, J. J. Biotransformation and induction: implications for toxicity, bioaccumulation and monitoring of environmental xenobiotics in fish. Environ. Health Perspect. 1987, 71, 105-119.
- (5) Sinclair, C. J.; Boxall, A. B. Assessing the ecotoxicity of pesticide transformation products. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2003, 37, 4617-4625.
- Williams, A. Opportunities for chiral agrochemicals. Pestic. Sci. (6) 1996, 46, 3-9.
- (7)Kaiser, K. L. E. Optical activity of polychlorinated biphenyls. Environ. Pollut. 1974, 7, 93-101.
- Garrison, A. W.; Schmitt, P.; Martens, D.; Kettrup, A. Enantio-(8)meric selectivity in the environmental degradation of dichlo-

rprop as determined by high-performance capillary electrophoresis. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1996, 30, 2449-2455

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576 577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590 591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

- (9)Wong, C. S.; Mabury, S. A.; Whittle, D. M.; Backus, S. M.; Teixeria, C.; Devault, D. S.; Bronte, C. R.; Muir, D. C. G. Organochlorine compounds in Lake Superior: chiral polychlorinated biphenyls and biotransformation in the aquatic food web. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2004, 38, 84-92.
- (10) Wong, C. S.; Lau, F.; Clark, M.; Mabury, S. A.; Muir, D. C. G. Rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) can eliminate chiral organochlorine compounds enantioselectively. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2002, 36, 1257-1262
- (11) Vetter, W.; Smalling, K. L.; Maruya, K. A. Interpreting nonracemic residues of chiral organochlorines using naturally contaminated fish. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2001, 35, 4444-4448.
- (12) Fisk, A. T.; Norstrom, R. J.; Cymbalisty, C. D.; Muir, D. C. G. Dietary accumulation and depuration of hydrophobic organochlorines: bioaccumulation parameters and their relationship with the octanol/water partition coefficient. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 1998, 17, 951-961.
- Fisk, A. T.; Tomy, G. T.; Cymbalisty, C. D.; Muir, D. C. G. Dietary (13)accumulation and quantitative structure-activity relationships for depuration and biotransformation of short (C₁₀), medium (C_{14}) , and long (C_{18}) carbon-chain polychlorinated alkanes by juvenile rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 2000, 19, 1508-1516.
- (14) Buckman, A. H.; Brown, S. B.; Hoekstra, P. F.; Solomon, K. R.; Fisk, A. T. Toxicokinetics of three polychlorinated biphenyl technical mixtures in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 2004, 23, 1725-1736.
- (15) Environmental fate and effects Section 3 registration decision for fipronil: Use on rice seed; D235912; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Environmental Fate and Effects Division, Office of Pesticide Programs: Washington, DC, 1998.
- Schlenk, D.; Huggett, D. B.; Allgood, J.; Bennett, E.; Rimoldi, J.; Beeler, A. B.; Block, D.; Holder, A. W.; Hovinga, R.; Bedient, P. Toxicity of fipronil and its degradation products to Procambarus sp.: Field and laboratory studies. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 2001, 41, 325-332.
- (17) Hosie, A. M.; Baylis, H. A.; Buckingham S. D.; Sattelle D. B. Actions of the insecticide fipronil on dieldrin sensitive and resistant GABA receptors of Drosophila melanogaster. Br. J. Pharmacol. **1995**, 115, 909–912.
- (18) Overmyer, J. P.; Mason, B. N.; Armbrust, K. L. Acute toxicity of imidacloprid and fipronil to a nontarget aquatic insect, Simulium vittatum zetterstedt cytospecies IS-7. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 2005, 74, 872-879.
- Hainzl, D.; Cole, L. M.; Casida, J. E. Mechanisms for selective (19)toxicity of fipronil insecticide and its sulfone metabolite and desulfinyl photoproduct. Chem. Res. Toxicol. 1998, 11, 1529-1535.
- (20) Demcheck, D. K.; Skrobialowski, S. C. Fipronil and degradation products in the rice-producing areas of the Mermentau River Basin, Louisiana, February-September 2000; Fact Sheet FS-010-03; U. S. Geological Survey: Reston, VA, 2003.
- (21) Hainzl, D.; Casida, J. E. Fipronil insecticide: Novel photochemical desulfinylation with retention of neurotoxicity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1996, 93, 12764-12767.
- (22)Hoekstra, P. F.; O'Hara, T. M.; Karlsson, H.; Solomon, K. R.; Muir, D. C. G. Enantiomer-specific biomagnification of α -hexachlorocyclohexane and selected chiral chlordane-related compounds within an Arctic marine food web. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 2003, 22, 2482-2491.
- (23) Moisey, J.; Fisk, A. T.; Hobson, K. A.; Norstrom, R. J. Hexachlorocyclohexane (HCH) isomers and chiral signatures of α -HCH in the Arctic marine food web of the Northwater Polynya. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2001, 35, 1920-1927.
- Harner, T.; Wiberg, K.; Norstrom, R. J. Enantiomeric fractions are preferred-to-enantiomer ratios for describing chiral signatures in environmental analysis. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2000, 34, 218-220.
- (25)Niimi, A. J.; Oliver, B. G. Biological half-lives of polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) congeners in whole fish and muscle of rainbow trout (Salmo gairdneri). Can. J. Fish Aquat. Sci. 1983, 40, 1388-1394.
- (26)Yamato, Y.; Kiyonaga, M.; Watanabe, T. Comparative bioaccumulation and elimination of HCH isomers in short-necked clam (Venerupis japonica) and guppy (Poecilia reticulate). Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 1983, 31, 352-359.
- (27) Butte, W.; Fox, K.; Zauke, G.-P. Kinetics and bioaccumulation and clearance of isomeric hexachlorocyclohexanes. Sci. Total Environ. 1991, 109/110, 377-382.

(28) Garrison, A. W.; Nzengung, V. A.; Avants, J. K.; Ellington, J. J.; Jones, W. J.; Rennels, D.; Wolfe, N. L. Phytodegradation of p,p'-DDT and the enantiomers of o,p'-DDT. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2000. 34. 1663-1670.

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657 658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666 667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

- (29) Fisk, A. T.; Cymbalisty, C. D.; Tomy, G. T.; Muir, D. C. G. Dietary accumulation and depuration of individual C10-, C11-, and C14polychlorinated alkanes by juvenile rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Aquat. Toxicol. 1998, 43, 209-221.
- (30)Serrano, R.; Simal-Julian, A.; Pitarch, E.; Hernandez, F.; Varo, I.; Navarro, J. C. Biomagnification study on organochlorine compounds in marine aquaculture: The sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) as a model. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2003, 37, 3375-3381.
- Wong, C. S.; Garrison, A. W.; Smith, P. D.; Foreman, W. T. (31)Enantiomeric composition of chiral polychlorinated biphenyl atropisomers in aquatic and riparian biota. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2001, 35, 2448-2454.
- (32)Wiberg, K.; Letcher, R. J.; Sandau, C. D.; Norstrom, R. J.; Tysklind, M.; Bidleman, T. F. The enantioselective bioaccumulation of chiral chlordane and α -HCH contaminants in the polar bear food chain. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2000, 34, 2668-2674.
- (33) Chandrappa, M. K.; Ninnekar, H. Z. Biodegradation of DDT by a Pseudomonas species. Curr. Microbiol. 2004, 48, 10-13.
- (34)Faller, J.; Huhnerfuss, H.; Konig, W. A.; Krebber, R.; Ludwig, P. Do marine bacteria degrade a-hexachlorocyclohexane stereoselectively? Environ. Sci. Technol. 1991, 25, 676-678.
 - (35) Lehmler, H.-J.; Price, D. J.; Garrison, A. W.; Birge, W. J.; Robertson, L. W. Distribution of PCB 84 enantiomers in C57BL/6 mice. Fresenius Environ. Bull. 2003, 12, 254-260.
- (36)Kleinow, K. M.; Haasch, M. L.; Williams, D. E.; Lech, J. J. A comparison of hepatic P450 induction in rat and trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss): Delineation of the site of resistance of fish to phenobarbital-type inducers. Comp. Biochem. Physiol., C Pharmacol. Toxicol. Endocrinol. 1990, 96, 259-270.
- (37) Brown, J. F. J. Metabolic alterations of PCB residues in aquatic fauna: Distributions of cytochrome P4501A- and P4502B-like activities. Mar. Environ. Res. 1992, 34, 261-266.

- (38) Lotufo, G. R.; Landrum, P. F.; Gedeon, M. L.; Tigue, E. A.; Herche, L. R. Comparative toxicity and toxicokinetics of DDT and its major metabolites in freshwater amphipods. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 2000, 19, 368-379.
- (39) Fisk, A. T.; Hobson, K. A.; Norstrom, R. J. Influence of chemical and biological factors on trophic transfer of persistent organic pollutants in the Northwater Polynya marine food web. Environ. Sci. Technol. **2001**, 35, 732–738.
- (40) Gobas, F. A. P. C.; Wilcockson, J. B.; Russell, R. W.; Haffner, G. D. Mechanism of biomagnification in fish under laboratory and field conditions. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1999, 33, 133–141.
- (41) Drouillard, K. G.; Norstrom, R. J. Dietary absorption efficiencies and toxicokinetics of polychlorinated biphenyls in ring doves following exposure to Aroclor mixtures. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 2000, 19, 2707-2714.
- (42) Landoni, M. F.; Soraci, A. L.; Delatour, P.; Lees, P. Enantioselective behaviour of drugs used in domestic animals; A review. I. Vet. Pharmacol. Ther. 1997, 20, 1–16.
- (43)Thomann, R. V. Bioaccumulation model of organic chemical distribution in aquatic food chains. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1989, 23, 699-707.
- (44) Walse, S. S.; Pennington, P. L.; Scott, G. I.; Ferry, J. L. The fate of fipronil in modular estuarine mesocosms. J. Environ. Monit. 2004, 6, 58-64.
- (45) Hawker, D. W.; Connell, D. W. Octanol-water partition coefficients of polychlorinated biphenyl congeners. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1988, 22, 382-387.
- Mackay, D.; Shiu, W. Y.; Ma, K. C. Illustrated Handbook of (46)Physical-Chemical Properties and Environmental Fate for Organic Chemicals; Lewis Publishers: Boca Rotan, FL, 1997.

Received for review January 11, 2006. Revised manuscript received March 7, 2006. Accepted March 9, 2006.

ES0600678

709 710

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694 695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707